



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/751,249	12/29/2000	Leslie Los	00-091-DSK	9273			
75	590 06/10/2002						
Wayne P. Bail	ley		EXAMINER				
One StorageTel			KARLSEN, ERNEST F				
Louisville, CO 80028-4309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2829				
		DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

253

M

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	,		_ ^ _
Office Action Summary	04/1	3/249		<u> </u>		AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Kan	SEN	Group Art	t Unit	
	Z,	MARL	10 E N	402	7	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cov	er sheet be	neath the c	orresponde	ence ad	dress—
P riod for Reply		2				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE _	<u> </u>	_ MONTH(S) FROM T	HE MAII	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied In No period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the expire SIX (6) te, cause the	statutory minir MONTHS from application to	mum of thirty (m the mailing of become ABA	(30) days will I date of this co INDONED (35	oe considommunica	ered timely. tion. 133).
Status	26	2 0	\sim			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- 0	1 - 00	<u> </u>			
☐ This action is FINAL.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 			ecution as	to the mer	its is cl	osed in
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s)			is/are	pending in t	he appli	cation.
Of the above claim(s)			is/are	withdrawn f	rom con	sideration.
□ Claim(s)			is/are	allowed.		
Claim(s) /- / 4	is/are	_ is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)			is/are	objected to.		
□ Claim(s)					riction o	r election
Application Papers			require			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			☐ disapprov	ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the	Examiner				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.	C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Áp	plication No)			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents I		,				
in this national stage application from the International E	-	•				
*Certified copies not received:						•
Atta hment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	_ Int	rvi w Sum	mary, PTO-	413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ No	tice of Infor	mal Patent	Applicat	ion, PTO-15
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948		□ Ot	her		-,	
Office Acti	on Summ	ary				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,249

Art Unit: 2829

وكالمراث المناسط

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of 2.

Janko et al, Nelson, Cole et al, Self or Kanamori.

Looking at Janko et al, for example, chips package 10 has leads 12 that connect to surface 3.

runs 4. In Janko et al pads 20 at one end of a flexible circuit 20 are connected to leads 12 and the

opposite end of the flexible circuit is connected to a test instrument. It is considered obvious to

display the output of the test instrument. The type of connector used, the type of display used

and the parameter tested are all considered matters of choice.

E KARLSEN/pj

06/06/02

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ernest I Karlon

Page 2